# Moisture Testing of Sure Cavity Drainage System







# **Stork Twin City Testing Corporation**

JOB NUMBER: PAGE: DATE: 30160 06-81896R2 1 of 21 March 20, 2007 662 Cromwell Avenue Saint Paul, MN 55114 USA

Telephone Toll Free Telefax Website :(651) 645-3601 :(888) 645-TEST :(651) 659-7348 :www.storktct.com

Investigative ChemistryGeNon Destructive TestingFaMetallurgical AnalysisM

Geotechnical Failure Analysis Materials Testing

Construction Materials Product Evaluation Welder Qualification

#### MOISTURE TESTING OF SURE CAVITY DRAINAGE SYSTEM

Prepared for: Masonry Technology Incorporated Attn: Mr. John Koester P.O. Box 214 Cresco, IA 52136-9635

**Prepared By:** 

Bob DiProspero Testing Technician Product Evaluation Department

**Reviewed By:** 

William Stegaman

William Stegeman Project Manager Product Evaluation Department Phone: 651-659-7230

The test results contained in this report pertain only to the samples submitted for testing and not necessarily to all similar products.

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

PAGE: DATE: 2 of 21 March 20, 2007

# MOISTURE TESTING OF SURE CAVITY DRAINAGE SYSTEM

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

This report presents the results of water spray tests conducted on wall panels. The testing was authorized by Mr. John Koester of Masonry Technology Incorporated on November 14, 2006. The testing and data analysis were completed on Feb. 26, 2007.

The scope of our work was limited to observing water spray tests on the samples submitted and reporting the results.

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS:

Maximum Record	ed Wood Moisture Equiva	alent, %, January 15 - Ja	anuary 22, 2007
	Panel A, Sure Cavity	Panel B, Control	Percent Difference
Wood Layer	8.72	13.17	4.45
Building Paper Layer 1	9.29	16.55	7.26
Building Paper Layer 2	10.06	27.34	17.27

#### **SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION:**

The samples were identified as Panels A1 and B1. Panels were assembled December 12-13, 2006. Construction details are as follows:

Panel A, configuration by layers:

- 1. Plywood
- 2. Jumbo Tex Double D Building Paper
- 3. Jumbo Tex Double D Building Paper
- 4. Sure Cavity SCMM 2532 drainage plane
- 5. Metal Lath
- 6. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" vented J-Weep Screed
- 7. ¾" Scratch Coat

#### Panel B, configuration by layers:

- 1. Plywood
- 2. Jumbo Tex Double D Building Paper
- 3. Jumbo Tex Double D Building Paper
- 4. Metal Lath
- 5. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" vented J-Weep Screed
- 6. ¾" Scratch Coat

PAGE: DATE: 3 of 21 March 20, 2007

# **CALIBRATED TEST EQUIPMENT:**

Omnisense S-900-1 Wireless Sensors

# UNCALIBRATED TEST EQUIPMENT:

Omnisense G-900-E Wireless Gateway Solo 456 compression sprayer Assorted hand tools Lumber and general hardware Fortifiber Jumbotex Two-Ply Construction Paper Specmix PCL Sand Masonry Mortar

# TEST METHOD:

The assembly of panels A1 and B1 employed identical construction procedures. The placement of the Sure Cavity drainage plane layer was unique to Panel A1. Nine sensors were distributed on each of the following locations:

W1: On the plywood surfaceP1: Between the two Jumbo Tex layersP2: On top of the two Jumbo Tex layersSC: On top of the Sure Cavity SCMM 2532 layer (Panel A1 only)

Specifics of the construction process, as carried out between December 12 and December 13, 2006, are detailed below. Construction and spray application were performed by Mike Ollendieck, Terry Gossman and Derek Oyloe.

A 4'x8' sheet of  $\frac{3}{4}$ " AC plywood was secured to an upright frame of 2x6 lumber. On the back of the sheet, additional support was provided by two vertical 2x6 studs placed 16" on center. Three additional horizontal 1" x 4" strips were secured to the studs to function as sensor mounting boards. A  $\frac{3}{4}$ " x 1 1/4" side board was attached to the top and sides of the face of the sheet. Prior to placement, a bead of caulk was run to prevent water from penetrating the sides of the panel. An additional bead of caulk was run around the inner edge of the border once the side boards were screwed into position.

The face of the plywood was marked with a 3 x 3 grid matrix to establish uniform placement of the sensors. Three horizontal lines were drawn: 16 inches from the top, 49 ½ inches from the top and 12 inches from the bottom. Three lines were drawn vertically: one down the center and two 8 inches in from the left and right sides. The grid dimensions were identical from layer to layer. Using the width of a sensor terminal as a guide, sensor wire positions were marked on the matrix and guide holes were drilled into the face of the plywood. Nine pairs of sensor wires were threaded through the back of the back and fastened in position on the face with duct tape.

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47



JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE:	4 of 21
		DATE:	March 20, 2007

#### TEST METHOD, Continued:

The plywood and sensor wires were covered with a layer of Jumbo Tex two-ply type D building paper. Three sheets were placed in position and stapled along the edge of the side board. A bead of caulk was run along the edge of the side board to prevent leakage. The grid pattern and sensor positions were marked in chalk on the paper surface. Sensor contact points were placed 3 inches above the contact points of the plywood layer. Nine pairs of sensor wires were taped in place at the marked contact points. The wires were secured to the surface with duct tape and then threaded through guide holes drilled into the side board. An additional bead of caulk was applied along the border edge to seal the guide holes and prevent water leakage between layers.

A second layer of building paper was applied and stapled in place along the edge of the border. Caulk was applied along the edges and the grid was marked on the surface in chalk. The existing contact points and wire positions were also marked. Nine pairs of sensor wires were taped in place on the paper surface, 3 inches below the positions of the plywood layer contact points. The wires were secured to the surface with duct tape and threaded through guide holes drilled into the side board. Caulk was applied along the boarder edge to seal the guide holes and prevent water leakage between layers. For Panel B1, plastic shims were taped in place adjacent to the bare contact points to prohibit contact with the metal lath layer and the vented J-weep screed was nailed to the bottom edge of the panel.

Next, a layer of Sure Cavity SCMM 2532 was applied to Panel A1. The Sure Cavity layer was applied in three sheets stapled in place over the second layer of building paper, bug screen side up. The grid and previous contact points and wire positions were marked in chalk on the bug screen surface. Sensor locations for the Sure Cavity layer were marked 5 inches above the position of the original plywood sensors. Contact points were taped in place using a sensor terminal as a spacing guide. The wires were secured to the surface with tape and threaded through guide holes drilled in the edges of the side boards. Plastic shims were placed adjacent to the bare contact points to prohibit contact with the metal lath. The J-weep screed was nailed to the bottom edge of the panel and a bead of caulk was run along the inner edge of the side board.

A final layer of metal lath was added to support the scratch coat. Four sheets of lath were nailed in place with care taken to protect the previous contact points and wires. Overlapping sections of lath were secured with wire ties and the surface was inspected to ensure that no sensor wire contact points were touching the metal lath. A final bead of caulk was run along the inner edge of the side board.

Omnisense S-900-1 wireless sensor terminals were anchored to the tops, sides and backs of the panel frames. Each layer was represented by nine sensor terminals. The wires were connected to the terminals and the exposed contacts were wrapped with electrical tape to protect them from exposure to water.

JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE:	5 of 21
		DATE:	March 20, 2007

#### TEST METHOD, Continued:

On December 13, 2006, a scratch coat was applied to the metal lath layers. Two batches of SpecMix PCL Sand Masonry Mortar were mixed and combined. The panels were laid horizontally and the mortar was applied. After two hours, the panels were set upright. Finally, the outside seam between the mortar and wood was sealed with caulk to prevent water leakage. Mortar was allowed to cure for a minimum of 28 days.



Sensor Layout, Panel A1



PAGE: DATE: 6 of 21 March 20, 2007

#### TEST METHOD, Continued:

#### Sensor Layout, Panel B1



JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE:	7 of 21
		DATE:	March 20, 2007

#### TEST METHOD, Continued:

#### WATER SPRAY APPLICATION, JANUARY 15-16, 2007

Following a cure interval of 31 days, the scratch coat surfaces were saturated with water during the course of two spray applications in a 24 hour period. A single application consisted of three consecutive sprayings with a 10 to 20 minute waiting period between sprayings. At 1:00 pm on January 15, 2007, two Solo 456 compression sprayers were filled with water to a volume of 7.0 liters and then pumped to approximately 45 psi. Commencing at the top right hand corner of each panel, water was applied at a rate of approximately 0.6 liters per minute. Spray nozzles were held 6 to 12 inches from the scratch coat surface and water was sprayed from left to right. The panel surface was divided into three equal sections from top to bottom. Starting from the top section, three complete sprayer passes were applied to the surface and the sprayers were re-pressurized to 45 psi before continuing on to the next section. Following the saturation of the bottom section, technicians returned to the top of the panel and continued spraying the surface from left to right in a single pass until the remaining water volume had been applied.

The process was repeated at 1:34 pm and 2:00 pm, with 10 to 20 minute waiting periods between applications. Inspection of the panels after each spraying showed that no water penetration occurred at the sides or backs of the panels. A comparison of the panels' drainage pans (approximate capacity 3.5 gallons) showed Panel B1's pan to be at capacity and Panel A1's pan to be <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> full.

Surface conditions of the panels were observed on January 16, 2007 at 11:00 am. Panel A1, containing the Sure Cavity drainage plane, appeared significantly lighter than Panel B1. Following the procedure detailed above, Panels A1 and B1 simultaneously received three consecutive water spray applications at 12:20 pm, 12:40 pm and 1:00 pm, with 10 minute waiting periods between applications. It was observed that the surface of Panel A1 began to lighten immediately following each spraying session while the surface of Panel B1 remained saturated throughout. Between sprayings, no water penetration was observed at the sides or backs of the panels. Moisture levels of all panel layers were monitored remotely via sensor data transmitted to the Omnisense website. Data analysis was performed by comparing moisture levels measured by the sensors occupying the grid center of each layer. Data was collected at six hour intervals from January 14 through January 22, 2007.

STORK <sup>®</sup> Materials Technology		Stork Twin City Testing Corporation	
JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE: DATE:	8 of 21 March 20, 2007

### TEST DATA:



Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

STORK® Materials Technology		Stork Twin City Testing Corporation	
JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE: DATE:	9 of 21 March 20, 2007

**TEST DATA, Continued:** 





Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

STORK <sup>®</sup> Materials Technology		Stork Twin City Testing Corporation	
JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE: DATE:	10 of 21 March 20. 2007

## TEST DATA, Continued:





Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

STORK® Materials Technology	Stork Twin City Testing Corporation

 JOB NUMBER:
 30160 06-81896R2
 PAGE:
 11 of 21

 DATE:
 March 20, 2007

 TEST DATA, Continued:
 March 20, 2007





Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

Stork Twin City Testing Corporation is an operating unit of Stork Materials Technology B.V.,

Amsterdam, The Netherlands, which is a member of the Stork Group

STORK® Materials Technology		Stork Twin City Testing Corporation	
JOB NUMBER:	30160 06-81896R2	PAGE: DATE:	12 of 21 March 20, 2007

**TEST DATA, Continued:** 

#### All Panel Layers, Post Test Period, January 23 to February 23, 2007



Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47



PAGE: DATE: 13 of 21 March 20, 2007

# PHOTOS:

# PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Plywood Layer



Figure 1: Panels A and B; Front view



Figure 2: Rear view of frame construction



Figure 3: Plywood Layer sensor contact points.



Figure 4: Plywood Layer sensor wires, rear view



Figure 5: Plywood Layer completed.

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47



PAGE: DATE:

PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Paper Layer 1 (P1)

14 of 21 March 20, 2007

PHOTOS, Continued:



Figure 6: Construction paper placement



Figure 7: Marking grid pattern



Figure 8: Sensor wire guide hole placement



Figure 9: Attaching sensor wires



Figure 10: Sealing paper edge



Figure 11: Paper Layer 1 completed

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47



PAGE: DATE:

PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Paper Layer 2 (P2)

15 of 21 March 20, 2007

PHOTOS, Continued:



Figure 12: Installation of second paper layer



Figure 13: Drilling sensor wire guide holes



Figure 14: Affixing sensor wires



Figure 15: Sensor contact points



Figure16: Sealing P2 edge



Figure 17: Paper Layer 2 completed

PAGE: DATE: 16 of 21 March 20, 2007

PHOTOS, Continued:





Figure 18: Sure Cavity layer placement



Figure 19: Weep screed installation



Figure 20: Marking sensor contact points



Figure 21: Securing sensor wires



Figure 22: Sensor contact points with shim



Figure 23: Sure Cavity layer completed

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47



PAGE: DATE: 17 of 21 March 20, 2007

PHOTOS, Continued:

# PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Metal Lath Layer



Figures 24: Installation of metal lath, Panel A



Figure 25: Installation of metal lath, Panel B



Figure 26: Installation of metal lath



Figure 27: Metal Lath Layer completed



PAGE: DATE:

PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Sensor Connection

18 of 21 March 20, 2007

# PHOTOS, Continued:



Figure 28: Fastening sensor to panel frame



Figure 30: Sensor attachment



Figure 29: Sensor attachment



Figure 31: Sensor attachment



Figure 32: Sensor wires connected



Figure 33: Terminals with electrical tape



PAGE: DATE: 19 of 21 March 20, 2007

# PHOTOS, Continued:

# PANEL CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW: Scratch Coat Application



Figure 34: Mortar preparation



Figure 35: Mortar application



Figure 36: Mortar application



Figure 37: Mortar application



Figure 38: Sealed mortar edge



Figure 39: Scratch coat completed

Information and statements in this report are derived from material, information and/or specifications furnished by the client and exclude any expressed or implied warranties as to the fitness of the material tested or analyzed for any particular purpose or use. This report is the confidential property of our client and may not be used for advertising purposes. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of this laboratory. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punished as a felony under Federal Statues including Federal Law Title 18, Chapter 47

PAGE: DATE: 20 of 21 March 20, 2007

PHOTOS, Continued:

WATER SPRAY APPLICATION, JANUARY 15-16, 2007



Figure 40



Figure 41



Figure 42



Figure 43



PAGE: DATE: 21 of 21 March 20, 2007

# PHOTOS, Continued:

# WATER SPRAY APPLICATION, JANUARY 15-16, 2007



Figure 44: Panel A surface, 21 hours after spray application #1



Figure 45: Panel B surface, 21 hours after spray application #1

# REMARKS:

The test materials were retained at the customer site.

F:\Product\MMFILES\WES\81896 81074 MAS072 Masonry Tech Inc Water Spray\81896r2 Masonry Tech Inc Rpt.doc



\*Wood Moisture Equivalent danger level 16% and above based on information in FAQ section of Dri-Eaz website at http://www.dri-eaz.com/.